

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/042,944	01/06/2002	Glenn Reid	APLE.P0018	4438	
23349	7590 09/18/2003				
STATTLER JOHANSEN & ADELI			EXAMINER		
P O BOX 51860 PALO ALTO, CA 94303			RAHMJOO, MANUCHER		
	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		,.	2676		
		·	DATE MAILED: 09/18/2003	3.	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
•						
Office Action Summary	10/042,944		REID ET AL.			
Office Action Cummary	Examiner	•	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Mike Rahn		2676 orrespondence address			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 January 2002</u> .						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	· ===	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 4- 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Takiguchi et al, US2002/0032696, hereinafter, Takiguchi.

As per claim 4 Takiguchi teaches acquiring a first set of digital images during a first single session; and marking said first set of digital images during said first single session as related images see for example column 10 paragraph [0185](orderly displaying of data associated in rings).

As per claim 5 Takiguchi teaches displaying said first set of images as being from a single film roll see for example column 20 paragraph [0352] and figures 53- 57.

As per claim 6 Takiguchi teaches acquiring a second set of digital images during

Art Unit: 2676

a subsequent second session; and marking said second set of digital images during said second session as related see for example column 19 paragraph [0344] and figures 53-58.

As per claim 7 Takiguchi teaches giving said first set of digital images a same creation date and time see for example column 10 paragraphs [0195] and [0199] and figure 7.

As per claim 8 Takiguchi teaches selecting at least one image displayed in an image display area; and selecting a keyword button from a keyword button area see for example column 20 paragraphs [0352](738 as group identifier and 731 as data identifier and 736 as icon picture ID) and figure 57; and marking said at least one image with a keyword associated with said keyword button see for example column 20 paragraphs [0352](flagging and displaying of data icons as shown in figures 55 a- d).

As per claims 9- 10 Takiguchi teaches displaying said selected keyword in said image display area and at least one image see for example column 20 paragraphs [0352] and figures 55- 58.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-3 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

None of the prior art either singularly or in combination teaches or fairly suggests

Art Unit: 2676

the applicant's invention of "selecting a first set of images from an image collection such that said first set of images will fit within said image display area along with a first specified minimum amount of space between images, an extra amount of space being generated by subtracting a width of said first set of images and said minimum amount of space from said size of said image display area".

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure; 5,835,094, US2002/0057272, and US2002/0145614.

Art Unit: 2676

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mike Rahmjoo whose telephone number is (703) 305-5658. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on (703) 308-6829. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750.

Mike Rahmjoo

September 3, 2003

MATTHEW C. BELLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600